

International economic sanctions – guide for entrepreneurs

9 May 2022




Table of contents:

1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. SANCTIONS BINDING ENTITIES OPERATING IN POLAND.....	3
3. WHO MUST OBSERVE SANCTIONS?	4
4. EXTRATERRITORIAL NATURE OF FOREIGN SANCTIONS	5
5. WHO MAY BE SUBJECT TO SANCTIONS (SANCTIONED ENTITIES)?	6
6. TYPES OF SANCTIONS	6
7. CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE TO OBSERVE SANCTIONS	7
8. Sanction DUE DILIGENCE	9
9. SANCTIONS AND TRADE AGREEMENTS.....	12
11. Means of protection in the event of coverage by sanctions	15
12. EXPORT CONTROL	17
13. AUTHORS	18

1. INTRODUCTION

Economic sanctions (also called restrictive measures) are an instrument of influence of states or international organisations (EU, UN) on states and individuals whose activities violate international law and pose a threat to peace and security.

Sanctioning states impose certain restrictions on sanctioned entities (e.g., entry bans on certain persons or freezing of assets in their territories) or require persons under their jurisdiction to cease or limit their cooperation with sanctioned entities (e.g., prohibiting the export of specific goods to recipients in a particular state).

Sanctioned entities may be identified as such or through indication of their characteristics. Restrictions may apply to any cooperation or collaboration in a particular field (e.g., prohibited export of goods excluding medicines, etc.). Sanctions may restrict or prohibit the establishment of new relationships while permitting the enforcement of existing agreements. At times, however, sanctions may require the cessation of prior commitments. The international sanctions regime is very diverse and dynamic.

This guide explains which sanctions should be applied by entities operating in Poland, how to set up a sanctions compliance system, and what effects sanctions may have on trade agreements.

2. SANCTIONS BINDING ENTITIES OPERATING IN POLAND

As a member of the UN and EU, Poland is bound by all UN and EU sanctions. As of April 2022, Poland has also introduced supplementary national sanctions.

In the UN system, the Security Council (SC) is the body authorised to impose sanctions. According to Chapter VII of the UN Charter, if the Security Council determines that there is a threat to peace, breach of peace, or act of aggression, it may pass resolutions or adopt measures that do not involve the use of armed force (sanctions). The addressees of UN regulations are member states of the United Nations.

The EU implements sanctions (uses the term 'restrictive measures') imposed by the UN Security Council. In addition, the EU can impose its own autonomous restrictive measures under the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP).

As for the latter measures, the Council first adopts CFSP **decisions** under art. 29 TEU. Measures such as arms embargoes or entry restrictions are implemented directly by Member States. Other measures to disrupt or restrict economic relations with a third country, including the freezing of funds and economic resources, shall be applied by means of **regulations** adopted by the Council acting by a qualified majority on a joint proposal from the High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy and the Commission pursuant to art. 215 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union.

On 16 April 2022, the [**Act dated 13 April 2022 on specific measures to counteract the support of aggression against Ukraine and to protect national security**](#) entered into force (Journal of Laws, 2022, pos. 835) (hereafter 'Polish Sanctions Act'). The Polish Sanctions Act, on one hand, provides for implementation measures at the national level in relation to EU

regulations on restrictive measures against Russia and Belarus and, on the other hand, introduces a national sanctions regime.

The national sanctions regime includes a national list of persons and entities to whom the freezing of assets and economic resources measures stipulated in EU regulations will apply. Additional national restrictive measures include **exclusion from a public procurement procedure or competition and inclusion on a list of foreigners whose residence in Poland is undesirable**. The Act also prohibits the import to Poland and transit through Polish territory of coal originating from Russia or Belarus.

Entry on the national list will be made by the Minister in charge of internal affairs ex officio or on application by the competent authorities indicated in the Sanctions Act.

Entities (or persons) who **directly or indirectly support Russian aggression against Ukraine or serious human rights violations or repression of civil society in Russia or Belarus, as well as persons who are associated with such persons (personally, organisationally, economically) or who are likely to use their funds, funds and economic resources for this purpose will be subject to listing**.

Entry on the list will be through a decision with delivery of the decision by making it available in the [Public Information Bulletin of the Minister of Internal Affairs](#). The decision shall include, inter alia, grounds for listing and an indication of the type of restrictive measures (e.g., freezing of funds, exclusion from public procurement proceedings, entry on the list of foreigners whose residence on the Republic of Poland is undesirable) that will apply to an entity.

3. WHO MUST OBSERVE SANCTIONS?

Responsibility for compliance with sanctions rests with public and private actors. In practice, some sanctions, due to their nature, can only be applied by public actors (e.g., entry bans to the EU are enforced by border guards). Other types of sanctions are applied by private actors (e.g., sanctions for not providing certain services).

As for private parties, EU sanctions must be observed by:

- a. **any person within the European Union** (including its airspace and on board any aircraft or vessel under the jurisdiction of a subject Member State).
- b. **citizens of EU Member States**, regardless of their location of stay,
- c. **any legal person, group or entity incorporated or constituted under the law of an EU Member State**,
- d. **any legal person, group or entity conducting business within the EU**.

Our company has subsidiaries in Russia. Do they have to comply with EU sanctions?

Companies must be careful not to take actions that have the purpose or effect of circumventing sanctions. For example, although non-EU companies are not subject to EU sanctions for activities conducted entirely outside the EU, EU parent companies cannot use non-EU subsidiaries as a

manner to engage in EU-sanctioned activities. Therefore, if a non-EU subsidiary proposes to engage in EU-sanctioned activity, its relationship with its EU parent company would have to be carefully analysed to assess the risk of circumventing sanctions.

We are a non-governmental organisation engaged in charitable activities in an EU-sanctioned country. We do not conduct business. Can sanctions affect our business?

Yes. Sanctions are binding on all entities. However, it should be borne in mind that acts entailing financial restrictions, restrictions on entry and other restrictive measures allow exemptions considering, in particular

- basic needs of the persons against whom these measures are directed,
- costs of legal assistance,
- extraordinary expenses,

as well as, where appropriate, humanitarian needs or international obligations.

4. EXTRATERRITORIAL NATURE OF FOREIGN SANCTIONS

Entrepreneurs often consider whether they should also comply with sanction laws of other countries, especially those of an extraterritorial nature. Entities operating in Poland are required to comply with national sanctions and those imposed by the EU.

In practice, non-compliance with foreign sanctions may also expose such entities to legal consequences in that foreign jurisdiction. They can vary widely, such as a ban on the export of goods and services, ban on entry or the freezing of assets.

A violation of US sanctions by an entity operating in Poland can, for example, result in such entity being placed on a US sanctions list or a large fine. Whenever there is a risk of violating foreign sanctions, it is important to seek expert advice from the relevant jurisdiction regarding legal risks of entering into or continuing a particular business relationship.

States seek to counter the extraterritorial effects of foreign sanctions. The EU has adopted a specific blocking regulation ([Council Regulation \(EC\) no. 2271/96 dated 22 November 1996](#)), intended "coerce" European entities not to comply with foreign sanctions listed in its annex (currently, US sanctions on Cuba and Iran). The regulation stipulates that European entities cannot refuse to do business with Cuban or Iranian entities on grounds of US sanctions. The Regulation also guarantees European entities the ability to seek compensation for damages incurred in compliance therewith. In specific situations where potential consequences may be significant, European entities may request permission from the European Commission to comply with foreign sanctions.

We export products to Belarus. The recipient is not on an EU sanctions list but is subject to US sanctions. In this situation, are exports subject to US sanction laws??

The US has a very extensive sanctions regime. The primary regulator of US sanctions programs is the Treasury Department, Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC). The OFAC is responsible for coordinating sanctions laws, administering the Specially Designated Nationals (SDN) list, and enforcing restrictive measures. The OFAC imposes fines for violations, but criminal sanctions are handled by the Department of Justice. The Department of State works with OFAC but is also a key player in the licensing and business conduct guidelines for government agencies and plays a central role in managing extraterritorial ("secondary") sanctions. Sanctions administered by the OFAC are binding on US or US-based entities but are generally not binding on non-US companies operating in the EU. As there are exceptions to this rule (e.g., trade in US-origin products or goods containing US-made components or US-dollar transactions), a detailed assessment of whether a particular transaction falls within the scope of sanctions regulations should be made by a US law firm. At the same time, payments under an export contract are likely to be settled through a Polish bank and an exporter would like to secure a counterparty's credit risk with a letter of credit or export insurance issued by a Polish or EU financial institution. An adopted settlement scheme and security arrangement should be consulted with a cooperating bank or insurance company as soon as possible. Financing providers generally may not be prepared to participate in transactions that are inconsistent with US sanctions.

5. WHO MAY BE SUBJECT TO SANCTIONS (SANCTIONED ENTITIES)?

Sanctions may target many different entities or areas of their operations and may include:

Natural persons: specific individuals or groups of individuals may be the target of various measures such as asset freezes or travel bans.

Enterprises and other entities: companies and other entities (e.g., terrorist groups) may be subject to asset freezes or more targeted restrictions.

Economic sector: restrictions may apply to a particular industry in a particular country and may cover, for example, trade in petroleum products, precious metals or dual-use items, or goods used in the manufacture or production of tobacco products.

The state: at times a comprehensive sanctions regime covers virtually all trade with a country.

6. TYPES OF SANCTIONS

Personal sanctions (sanctions that target specific individuals, groups or entities)

- freezing of all funds and economic resources,
- ban on the provision of funds or economic resources (directly or indirectly),
- prohibition on engaging in commercial transactions or relationships,
- visa-issue prohibition or travel ban.

Subject sanctions

- ban on exports or imports (sanctions may cover trade with a particular country or territory or specific products (sectoral sanctions) such as arms, oil, timber or diamonds),
- prohibition on investments, payments and capital flows,
- withdrawal of tariff preferences,
- flight ban.

Financial sanctions

- prohibition on financing or provision of financial services,
- limitations in obtaining sources of financing,
- ban on providing certain services (brokerage, financial services, technical assistance).

7. CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE TO OBSERVE SANCTIONS

EU Regulations on sanction provide that EU Member States shall lay down rules on **effective, proportionate and dissuasive sanctions** for breaches of relevant Regulations and shall take all measures necessary to ensure their implementation. Penalties for violations of the sanctions rules therefore vary depending on the Member States.

In the case of Poland, penalties for non-compliance with sanctions are spread across several laws and are different in nature for different sanctions programs.

Failure to apply provisions of the Anti-Money Laundering and Countering of Terrorism Act of 1 March 2018 regarding specific restrictive measures (currently, this concerns measures selected directed against terrorist organisations) is subject to the administrative penalties stipulated in the Act (e.g. such penalties in the Act include: withdrawal of a licence or permit, removal from the register of regulated activities, or fine of up to twice the amount of the benefit gained or loss avoided by an obligated institution as a result of a breach, whereby it is up to the equivalent of EUR 1,000,000 if not possible to determine the amount of such benefit or loss - art. 149 and 150 of the Act). However, it should be recalled that the Act imposes obligations on specific entities, particularly financial institutions, and serves to apply only selected EU regulations on restrictive measures to combat terrorism.

Another act that introduces liability for violation of sanctions is the Sanctions Act, which, however, objectively covers only restrictive measures against Russia and Belarus.

Liability for violation of sanction provisions includes:

- (i) **fines of up to PLN 20,000,000** for:

-
- non-compliance with the obligation to freeze funds or economic resources of persons on national sanctions lists and on EU lists set out in Regulation no. 765/2006 or Regulation no. 269/2014,
 - failure to comply with information obligations detailed in Regulations nos. 269/2014, 833/2014 and 765/2006 (e.g., receipts in frozen accounts, level of deposits or facilitation of compliance with the Regulations),
 - violation of certain prohibitions and restrictions on trade in goods set out in the Regulations on restrictive measures against Russia no. 833/2014 and Belarus no. 765/2006,
 - conscious and intentional acts to circumvent the above three prohibitions,
 - participation in a public procurement procedure or contest by persons or entities subject to exclusion.

(ii) **imprisonment for a term of at least three years** for:

- violation of certain prohibitions and restrictions on trade in goods set out in the Regulations on restrictive measures against Russia no. 833/2014 and Belarus No. 765/2006,
- violation of prohibitions and restrictions on trade in goods originating in the Ukrainian regions of Donetsk and Luhansk laid down in Regulation no.2022/263,
- ban on the introduction into Poland of coal originating from Russia or Belarus.

(iii) **exclusion from public procurement proceedings** of contractors/participants on EU/PL sanction lists and contractors/participants whose beneficiaries or parent company is a person on the list)

Polish law, however, has no general provisions on penalties for violation of other EU limiting measures. Neither the Criminal Code nor other laws provide specific provisions regarding a violation of sanctions or misconduct in connection with their compliance.

However, this does not mean that entities cannot incur **contractual liability** for breach of obligations assumed in the course of business.

Non-compliance with sanctions regulations can also result in **loss of reputation**, refusal to cooperate or exclusion from a bidding process. Companies placing a premium on corporate compliance may avoid work with a sanctions violator because they will seek to ensure that they meet their own regulatory obligations.

Sanctions program	Obligated entities	Possible penalties
<i>Act dated 1 March 2018 on the prevention of money laundering and financing of terrorism</i> ¹	Enumerated in the Act, among others: financial institutions (domestic and foreign operating in the Republic of Poland); insurance and reinsurance companies; entrepreneurs, notaries, attorneys and legal advisors in situations specified in the Act)	criminal-administrative measures
<i>Act dated 13 April 2022 on special solutions to counteract support of aggression against Ukraine and for protection of national security</i> ²	Entities subject to Polish jurisdiction	criminal-administrative measures
Other EU decisions and regulations on restrictive measures	Entities under EU jurisdiction	no punitive-administrative measures

8. SANCTION DUE DILIGENCE

All entities that conduct any international business should verify that their international cooperation is consistent with international sanctions.

The first step is to determine whether the **country** in whose territory an entity with which a trading relationship is potentially established is subject to sanctions.

If export is to a sanctioned country, the next step is to check whether an exported **product** is restricted.

¹ The law serves to apply: 1) Council Regulation (EC) No. 2580/2001 of 27 December 2001 on specific restrictive measures directed against certain persons and entities to combat terrorism, 2) Council Regulation (EC) No. 881/2002 of 27 May 2002 imposing certain specific restrictive measures against certain persons and entities associated with ISIL (Daish) and Al-Qaida, 3) Regulation (EC) No 1889/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 October 2005 on controls of cash entering or leaving the Community, 4) Council Regulation (EU) No 753/2011 of 1 August 2011 on restrictive measures directed against certain individuals, groups, undertakings and entities in view of the situation in Afghanistan, 5) Commission Regulation (EU) No 389/2013 of 2 May 2013 establishing a Union Registry pursuant to Directive 2003/87/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, Decisions No 280/2004/EC and No 406/2009/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and repealing Commission Regulations (EU) No 920/2010 and No 1193/2011, 6) Regulation (EU) 2015/847 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 May 2015 on information accompanying transfers of funds and repealing Regulation (EC) No.1781/2006.

² The law serves to apply: 1) Council Regulation (EC) No 765/2006 of 18 May 2006 on restrictive measures in view of the situation in Belarus and Belarus' involvement in Russia's aggression against Ukraine; 2) Council Regulation (EU) No 269/2014 of 17 March 2014 on restrictive measures in respect of actions undermining or threatening the territorial integrity, sovereignty and independence of Ukraine; 3) Council Regulation (EU) No 833/2014 of 31 July 2014 on restrictive measures in view of Russia's actions destabilising the situation in Ukraine.

If there are no sanctions-related obstacles to exporting goods, the next step is to make sure that the **business partner and other persons and entities involved in a transaction** in a sanctioned country are not subject to entity-based sanctions.

Our counterparty is not listed, but the company controlling it is. Can we proceed with a transaction?

The provision of funds or economic resources to persons or entities not on sanctions lists but owned or controlled by persons or entities on such lists will generally be considered as making these funds or resources indirectly available to persons or entities on sanctions lists.

An exception to this rule is when it can be determined on a case-by-case basis, using a risk-based approach, and taking all relevant circumstances into account, that funds or economic resources will not be used by or for the benefit of these persons or entities.

Criteria to be considered in risk assessment include, but are not limited to:

- a. the date and nature of contracts between entities involved (e.g., sales or distribution contracts),
- b. importance of the non-listed entity's sector of activity to the listed entity,
- c. assessment of financial resources, including, for example, the ease with which they can be provided to a listed entity.

Ownership

The criterion to be taken into account when assessing whether a legal person or entity is owned by another person or entity is that it has more than 50% ownership of the entity or holds a majority interest in it. If this criterion is met, the legal person or entity is deemed to be owned by another person or entity.

(Definition according to the EU Best Practices Guide for the effective implementation of restrictive measures of 18 May 2018)

Control

Criteria to be considered in assessing whether a legal person or entity is controlled by another person or entity, either alone or pursuant to an agreement with another shareholder or other third party, could include, but are not limited to:

- a) right or exercise of power to appoint or remove a majority of members of an administrative, management or supervisory body of such legal person or entity,
- b) designation, solely on the basis of the exercise of voting rights, of a majority of members of administrative, management or supervisory bodies of a legal person or entity in office during the current or previous fiscal year,
- c) exclusive control, pursuant to an agreement with other shareholders or members of a legal person or entity, of a majority of voting rights of shareholders or members of that legal person or entity,
- d) right to exercise dominant influence over a legal person or entity pursuant to an agreement entered into with that legal person or entity or pursuant to a provision in its founding deed or

articles of association, where the law governing that legal person or entity permits it to be subject to such an agreement or provision,

e) power to exercise a right to exercise the dominant influence described in paragraph (d) without being the holder of such a right,

f) right to use all or part of assets of a legal person or entity,

g) management of activities of a legal person or entity on a uniform basis, publication of consolidated financial statements,

h) joint and severally liability for or guarantee of financial obligations of a legal person or entity.

(Definition according to the EU Best Practices Guide for the effective implementation of restrictive measures of 18 May 2018)

ATTENTION: Ensured compliance with sanctions regulations **does not release from compliance with export and import control regulations**. When dealing in items or technologies with military or dual-use purposes, consideration should be given to the need for an authorisation or notification of intent to export or import an item or technology.

We have received an inquiry from a state-owned company in a sanctioned country. Can we submit an offer and conduct a possible export?

Persons and entities subject to sanctions are always listed in annexes to a relevant regulation. Sanctions are generally not directed against a state itself, but rather a specific sector or specific executives, ministries, or companies associated with the state administration.

Thus, state ownership alone is usually not an obstacle to export unless a company or its owner is listed. However, if sanctions are imposed on that country's administration, potential exports may carry an increased risk of so-called indirect transfer of funds to sanctioned entities.

We sell a production line to a sanctioned country that may contain prohibited or restricted components.

Each case is subject to individual evaluation. The determining factor is usually whether a restricted item is an integral part of the production line in question and can be separated from the whole without disassembly. If this is the case, the prohibited component cannot be exported.

We export our products to a sanctioned country. Some of the technology and components we use come from our non-EU partner. Should we also consider the export regulations of this country?

Some countries, such as the United States, restrict further exports of components and technology produced there. Exporters should carefully check whether the products and technologies they are sourcing are subject to such restrictions.

My company exports goods to Turkey but I know they will eventually reach Iran. Is this relevant to my trading activities?

Yes. If an exported product is known or suspected to enter a sanctioned country through a third country, it should be treated as an export directly to the sanctioned country. All obligations under the EU Regulation, including product restrictions and prohibition on making funds or economic resources available, directly or indirectly, to the sanctioned entity should be taken into account during export.

Where can we make an initial verification?

The EU publishes and regularly updates a consolidated list of persons, groups and entities subject to EU financial sanctions as a result of various sanctions regulations adopted under the CFSP: https://ecas.ec.europa.eu/cas/login?loginRequestId=ECAS_LR-6257873-oFqtlaSVx9O9qtakyXBsBxpVxzsMk4SEadIIHfzioJziWeVPS8O4jlfzzUMlxoM8YLLzWEiVniEsM5PjHSAsXxl0-yntOf97TTHgozwcSofbotzm-XrJb4zobJ4xjlzoBzcVeo9w0RU8Q79mtE0udlMoP0ARuoIZD3NNWNfcE5YvDGyOhbMM8fFrfd8ZaSnWBPzziwIS. A consolidated list of financial sanctions is also available in PDF format.

An EU sanctions map (<https://sanctionsmap.eu/#/main>) provides detailed information on all EU entity and territorial sanctions regimes and corresponding legal acts, including those adopted by the UN Security Council and transposed at the EU level.

Nevertheless, attention should always be paid to when a particular search engine has been updated. The most up-to-date source of information on sanctions is the Official Journal of the EU: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/oj/direct-access.html?locale=pl>.

Do not forget to verify the Polish sanction list in the [Public Information Bulletin of the Ministry of Interior and Administration](#).

To what extent are we required to perform a due diligence in verifying customers and transactions?

There is no standard due diligence mechanism to ensure compliance in the sanctions area. The level of required due diligence is highly case-specific and risk-based.

Due diligence regarding compliance in the sanctions area is an ongoing obligation for anyone conducting cross-border business. Laws may change, individuals may be added to sanctions lists and a particular sector of a country's economy may be prohibited from trading.

9. SANCTIONS AND TRADE AGREEMENTS

Sanctions extended to certain entities or activities may have consequences for contracts already entered into or to be concluded.

Sanctions may prevent contract execution.

Essentially, in a situation of so-called consequential inability not attributable to any of the parties (art. 495 of the Civil Code), a party unable to provide its service is no longer liable for it. Also, it cannot demand a reciprocal service and, if received, must reimburse it. If it becomes

impossible to provide only a part of a contractually stipulated service, a contractor does not have to provide that particular part and loses the right to an appropriate part of what was to be received in return from a contractor. However, a contractor remains liable for that part of a service that is still possible and is entitled to an appropriate part of consideration, unless partial provision would have no economic significance to the counterparty. The counterparty may then withdraw from a contract. In such case, the parties must reimburse each other all service received under a contract (or its equivalent). However, each party may withhold reimbursement until the counterparty itself has made or secured return.

However, penalty provisions may interfere with such settlements and, for example, prohibit repayment or recovery of a service under a contract that has become impossible to execute. Indeed, repayment of a benefit under an unenforceable contract, as execution of that contract, may constitute a prohibited provision of funds or economic resources to a party subject to sanctions. Reimbursement from such person for consideration rendered under an unenforceable contract may be viewed as complicity in the use of frozen funds. There are exceptions and procedures for obtaining consent, for example, to continue execution of an existing contract or to obtain payment for past execution. However, the need to obtain consent may interfere with contract execution and make it unreasonably difficult. This particularly applies to parties from countries such as Poland, which do not have adequate procedures, experience or sound practice in this area.

Sanctions can also otherwise not so much prevent as unduly hinder execution of a contract, e.g., by forcing a change in location of an investment, forcing a party to seek an alternative and more expensive source of supply, etc. If these burdens exceed the effects of ordinary business risk or risk knowingly assumed by a contractual party, it may seek court adjustment or termination of the contract by invoking what is known as an "extraordinary change in circumstances" (art. 357¹ CC.).

The distinction between impossible and unreasonably onerous execution may be difficult to make but has important legal consequences. Such issues may be resolved differently in different legal systems and a contract with a foreign counterparty may be governed by foreign law. As a rule, however, such contracts contain special force majeure and renegotiation clauses in the event of an extraordinary change in circumstances. It is advisable to start an analysis of a situation with their review. Unjustified refusal to execute a contract or attempt to terminate it may result in liability for damages to the counterparty. Contracts may also include so-called sanction or anti-sanction clauses. The former specify what occurs to a contract if international sanctions prevent its execution in whole or in part. They may provide that contract execution shall be suspended or when such a situation may be the basis for withdrawal from a contract. Therefore, they are essentially force majeure clauses addressing a specific type of force majeure. More controversial are anti-sanctions clauses allocating the risk of sanctions between the parties. Generally, they state that a party that cannot execute a contract due to sanctions will still be liable to the counterparty for proper contract execution and, for example, must pay damages to the counterparty. Such clauses must be carefully analysed to ensure that they are not contrary to the law or serve to circumvent it. In principle, however, they may

also be regarded as a variation of force majeure clauses specifying what the parties do not consider to be a fortuitous event exempting liability for proper contract execution.

It should be taken into account that counterparties in sanctioned countries are unlikely to respect foreign sanctions. Their laws may even require them to disregard sanctions on pain of criminal prosecution. Moreover, their courts adjudicating disputes over contracts affected by sanctions will disregard foreign sanctions laws. They may deem a foreign contractor's failure to execute or terminate a contract due to sanctions imposed by its home country to be indefensible or even punishable (similarly, they may deem sanction clauses specifying the contractual effect of foreign sanctions not recognised in a subject country to be prohibited). It is also possible that sanctioned countries such as Russia will allow their citizens prohibited affected by foreign sanctions to take legal action against foreign counterparties at home, regardless of the forum agreed upon in advance. Of course, the enforceability of such judgments outside the home country is likely to be limited. Entrepreneurs enforcing sanctioned contracts, however, face very difficult legal challenges, especially if they have facilities or employees and representatives in sanctioned countries exposed to liability under local law.

A month ago, we received an order from a company in an EU-sanctioned country. Since then, the Council decided to extend sanctions and the export of goods to which the order relates is now prohibited. What can we do?

New restrictive measures often include a transition period to ensure the protection of bona fide traders and to allow for the performance of a contract concluded prior to entry of a sanction into force. However, existence and length of a transition period are subject to case-by-case assessment and analysis of adopted restrictions. In some cases, continued execution of a contract after a sanction has taken effect may require permission from a relevant authority.

Our university conducts classes remotely for non-EU students. Can sanctions affect our business?

Yes. Sanctions regulations often restrict the transfer of certain goods and technology described in more detail in annexes to those regulations, as well as so-called "technical assistance." Technology refers, for example, to knowledge or skills needed to develop, produce, or use the items listed, whereas technical assistance can be in the form of training or advice.

These prohibitions may impose restrictions on research, project, or teaching collaboration in certain sectors with institutions and researchers with ties to a sanctioned country.

10. VIOLATION OF SANCTIONS AS A TRANSACTION RISK

Acquirers evaluating potential mergers or acquisitions and parties considering commercial transactions should ensure that their due diligence includes a detailed assessment of the legal and business risks of sanctions and their ability to meet the expectations of relevant regulators regarding ongoing due diligence and compliance.

In the context of mergers and acquisitions (M&A), sanctions compliance testing is necessary to assess the risk of successor liability for sanctions violations. When purchasing a partial

stake, shares or assets of a company, losses incurred by a company due to sanctions violations committed prior to the transaction, as well as associated reputational costs, will reduce the value of a buyer's investment.

A primary way to protect against sanctions risk is through representations and warranties from a seller in which the seller declares to the buyer that the company has a sanctions compliance policy, that neither it nor any group entity is directly or indirectly subject to sanctions and that the business is fully compliant with all applicable sanctions laws.

Moreover, if a contemplated transaction is long-term, it must be ensured that a contract provides a basis and conditions for its termination or suspension if sanctions violations or changes to the law (placement of counterparty on a sanctions list, prohibition of export/import of a particular product) make it unlawful to continue a relationship.

If a due diligence uncovers sanctions risks, the path forward will depend on whether a relationship cannot be established as a result of such finding (for example, if the party is on a sanctions list), or whether it is possible (and worthwhile) to enter into a relationship despite risks, provided that certain conditions precedent are met, e.g. receipt of an export license or implemented policy of compliance with sanctions regulations of the jurisdiction in question.

Prior to a transaction, the seller who discovers violations has the primary responsibility to cease unlawful conduct and take corrective action. However, whereas some steps can be taken quickly (to prevent further violations before transaction closing), systemic solutions (such as implementing or fundamentally altering compliance procedures) should be left to the buyer, especially if the buyer has an appropriate compliance program that can be implemented at the acquired company.

Other areas of potential liability when conducting a due diligence on EU companies include verification of compliance with US sanctions for foreign-made products. Many European companies are unaware that their products may be subject to US export controls and sanctions because they contain US-origin components or were manufactured using US technology or equipment (*the de minimis rule*).

11. MEANS OF PROTECTION IN THE EVENT OF COVERAGE BY SANCTIONS

Protection available to sanctioned entities varies depending on the source of a sanction (UN, EU, or Poland). In addition, some listing mechanisms provide for self-verification of listing decisions, while others provide for removal from the list only after an appeal process.

UN Security Council resolutions

Sanctions adopted by the EU in implementation of UN Security Council resolutions are not limited in time. They are amended or repealed in line with decisions taken by the UN.

Individuals subject to sanctions against ISIL (Da'esh) and al-Qaeda may submit a request for removal from the list through the [Ombudsperson of the Committee on Sanctions against ISIL \(Da'esh\) and al-Qaeda](#). A request for delisting will be considered in accordance with the detailed procedure set out in Annex II to Security Council Resolution no. 2610 (2021).

Other entities, on the other hand, may submit requests for delisting under the [focal point procedure](#) in accordance with the procedure set out in an annex to Security Council Resolution no. 1730 (2006).

EU autonomous sanctions

Council Decisions imposing EU autonomous restrictive measures are normally adopted for a limited period of time, whereas corresponding Council Regulations are issued for an unlimited time period. Before deciding to renew a decision, the Council evaluates the restrictive measures and, depending on circumstances, may modify, renew or temporarily suspend them at any time.

Entities subject to sanctions may submit an application to the Council for reconsideration of a decision to impose sanctions or may contest the Council's decision before the General Court of the European Union in the course of action for annulment under conditions laid down in Article 263 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union.

Removal from the list may be warranted upon demonstration, for example, of a listing error (as to the identity of the listed person), material change in fact (e.g., actual change in ownership of a listed entity), death of a listed person, or bankruptcy of a listed entity. Generally, delisting is appropriate in any case where conditions for listing are no longer met. However, the burden of proof is on the sanctioned party to demonstrate that existing circumstances do not justify listing.

Grounds for annulment, on the other hand, most often include insufficient evidence or unclear justification for listing, errors in factual assessment of a sanctioned entity, failure to follow due process in the listing procedure and violation of the right to counsel.

It is important to note that revocation of a sanction does not have immediate effect unless explicitly stated in a General Court judgment. The effect of a sanction is maintained until the deadline for filing an appeal with the Court of Justice of the EU has expired. If, for example, the Council lodges an appeal, entry on the sanction list remains in force until the appeal is heard.

National sanctions

A decision on inclusion in the Polish Sanctions List is subject to immediate execution. There is no motion for reconsideration of the case. An entity on the list may, however, file a complaint with the Provincial Administrative Court within 30 days from the date of publication of the decision in the BIP of the Minister for internal affairs.

An entity placed a list can also file a justified application with the minister of internal affairs for deletion from the list

12. EXPORT CONTROL

Ensured compliance with sanctions regulations **provides no exemption to export or import control regulations.**

Export controls are laws that regulate and restrict the flow of strategic products and technology, information and services (including technical assistance and brokerage) for reasons of a country's foreign policy and national security.

Export controls particularly concern **arms and dual-use items and technologies** (a list of dual-use goods is an integral part of Regulation (EU) 20 May 2021 of the European Parliament and of the Council (EC) and includes more than 2,000 different types of equipment, measurement devices, materials or technologies such as, for example, certain carbon fibres, chemicals or steel products, telecommunications equipment, robots, lasers, navigation systems, devices with encryption functions, etc.).

Export restrictions are particularly important for new or emerging technologies such as IoT, 5G, digital platforms or clouds. It is vital to bear in mind that technology and know-how transfer is not only the physical transfer of products, but above all the transfer of intangible technologies, i.e., via electronic means (e.g., sending of an e-mail, imparting of information by telephone or during a virtual meeting), as well as the transfer of knowledge and skills (e.g., technical assistance, research papers presented at scientific conferences, etc.).

The extent of regulatory restrictions and obligations (e.g., receipt of an export license, declaration of an import) depends on the **type of commodity, country of origin or destination, recipient, or end use.**

13. AUTHORS



Łukasz Lasek
adwokat, partner
lukasz.lasek@wardynski.com.pl



Anna Olejniczak-Michalska
attorney-at-law
anna.olejniczak-michalska@wardynski.com.pl



Stanisław Drozd
adwokat, partner
stanislaw.drozd@wardynski.com.pl



Stefan Feliniak
attorney-at-law
stefan.feliniak@wardynski.com.pl



Joanna Krakowiak
attorney-at-law
joanna.krakowiak@wardynski.com.pl



WARDYŃSKI & PARTNERS

AL. UJAZDOWSKIE 10

00-478 WARSZAWA

TEL.: +48 22 437 82 00, 22 537 82 00

FAX: 22 437 82 01, 22 537 82 01.

E-MAIL: WARSAW@WARDYNSKI.COM.PL

WWW.WARDYNSKI.COM.PL

KRAKÓW

UL. LUDWINOWSKA 7/5

30-331 KRAKÓW

TEL.: + 48 12 290 86 20

FAKS: + 48 12 290 86 21

KRAKOW@WARDYNSKI.COM.PL

POZNAŃ

UL. MARCELIŃSKA 90

60-324 POZNAŃ

TEL.: + 48 61 651 87 00

FAKS: + 48 61 651 87 01

POZNAN@WARDYNSKI.COM.PL

WROCŁAW

UL. GWIAŻDZISTA 66

53-413 WROCŁAW

TEL.: + 48 71 348 86 00

FAKS: + 48 71 348 86 01

WROCLAW@WARDYNSKI.COM.PL